

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA
United States Attorney
2 MACK E. JENKINS
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, Criminal Division
MIRI SONG (Cal. Bar No. 291140)
4 Assistant United States Attorney
International Narcotics, Money
5 Laundering, & Racketeering Section
1400 United States Courthouse
6 312 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90012
7 Telephone: (213) 894-2262
Facsimile: (213) 894-0142
8 E-mail: miri.song@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 ERICK OVIED ESTRADA, ET AL.,

17 **ERICK OVIED ESTRADA (#1),**

18 **ARIAN ALANI (#2), and**

19 **CASEYA CHANEL BROWN (#5),**

20 Defendants.

No. CR 23-564-MWF-1,2,5

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 8/5/2025

[PROPOSED] SC DATE: 6/23/2025

21
22 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
23 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
24 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
25 government and defendants Erick Oved Estrada, Arian Alani, and Casey
26 Chanel Brown, (collectively, the "defendants"), in this matter on
27 November 6, 2024. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which
28 this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates

1 facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter,
2 and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to
3 the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

4 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
5 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
6 defendants in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
7 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
8 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
9 continuance would unreasonably deny defendants continuity of counsel
10 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
11 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
12 diligence.

13 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

14 1. The trial in this matter is continued as to all defendants
15 in this matter (defendants numbers one through six) from the earliest
16 set trial date of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025. The status
17 conference hearing is continued to June 23, 2025.

18 2. The time period of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025,
19 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
20 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
21 and (B)(iv). Additionally, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6), the
22 time period of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025, inclusive,
23 constitutes a reasonable period of delay for defendants Tomas
24 Marquez-Ruiz, Gilberto Marquez, and Zoila Estrada, who are joined for
25 trial with co-defendants, as to whom the time for trial has not run
26 and no motion for severance has been granted.

27 3. Defendants Tomas Marquez-Ruiz, Caseya Brown, and Zoila
28 Estrada, shall appear in Courtroom 5A of the Federal Courthouse, 350

1 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California on August 5, 2025, at 8:30
2 a.m.

3 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
4 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
5 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
6 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
7 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
8 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
9 commence.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11
12 _____
13 DATE

HONORABLE MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14
15
16 Presented by:

17 /s/ MiRi Song
18 MIRI SONG
19 Assistant United States Attorney
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28